1. Basics

Recall notations

· f: 12" → 12" measurable PE(1,~),

IIf IIp: = ([flp)) = the integration keeps the same for g: IR" -> IRM where m (1xeIR" | fix) +g(x)3) =0.

Prop [PUR") is a Barach space (i.e. coruplete normed vector space)

Ruk One drawback of $f \in L^p(IR^n)$ is that it's hard to take derivatives.

· C(R", IR")={ continous fors f on IR"}

Kuruka. Co (R"; Rm) = --- uptly supported.

CK (IR", IR") = {] K-th desiratives and they are cont.}

To simplify the presentation, we will consider IRM=IR, and $C^{k}(IR^{n}, R)$ on $C^{k}(IR^{n}, IR)$ will be denoted by $C^{k}(IR^{n})$ or $C^{k}_{0}(IR^{n})$.

For a multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, defin $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} = \frac{3x'_{\alpha'} \cdots 3x'_{\alpha''}}{3_{|\alpha|}}$$

For fe Ck(Rn), Daf= 3/a/f when lad = k.

· Convolution *

$$f, g$$
 two fews on IR", then
$$(f * g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)g(y) dVoly$$

fxg=gxf Int we will apply it in a reacher non-symmetric vay: take $f = \text{the standard mollifier } \eta : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (= a radial symmetric bump few (5.4. (N=1))

$$C_{-} + C_{-} = C_{-}$$

For r>0, $N_r(x):=r^{-n}N(\frac{x}{r})$ to make sure then for any ga (Pay

$$\|\eta_{r} * g - g\|_{p} \leq \|\underline{\eta_{r} * (g - h)}\|_{p} + \|\underline{\eta_{r} * h - h}\|_{p} + \|\underline{h - g}\|_{p}$$

 $B: |(y_r * h)(x) - h(x)| = |\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_r(x-y) h(y) - h(x)|$

me chould which with
$$Z = X - Y$$

Think involves uniform $Z = X - Y$

Think involves uniform $Z = X -$

· weak deminative

Mutivation: integration by parts ueco(IR), the co(IR),

$$D = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d(u \varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial u \cdot \varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \cdot \partial \varphi$$
by C (is opthy supp

=> one can replace formally define Du by a for f st. 446 (00), us have.

(collect a week demanting of a)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}} \Lambda \cdot \frac{3 \times 1}{3 \delta} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}} f \cdot \delta$$

Ex u = |x|: $|R \rightarrow |R|$ $\frac{du}{dx} \sim \sigma$, $|R \rightarrow |R|$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ check. $\forall e \in C^{\infty}(|R|)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (x) \frac{d\varphi}{dx} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-x) \frac{d\varphi}{dx} dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} x \cdot \frac{d\varphi}{dx} dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-x) \frac{d\varphi}{dx} dx - \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(x) dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(x) \varphi(x) dx$$

fink The can woodify of by a zero-measure set, which can a low serve as a weak demative of 4.

prop If f is a weak desirative of u, then for $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, g * fis a weak derivative of g * u.

In particular, if $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} * u = g * f$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (g * u)$

demartures of gxu. and they are buch continue

2. Sobolev space

KEIN and PE[1,00), then

 $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \forall \text{ as with lal} \in \mathbb{R} \\ \exists f_a \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ is a} \right\}$ weak derivative of $D^a u$

then for u ∈ Wkp(1R4), define

||u||_kp:= (||u||_p + \le ||f_x||_p) / p

They (WEP(184), 11.11+,p) is a Barach space.

= (R") is dense in WEP (IR") (so C"(R") = WEP(IR")

pf (sketch) For UE Whop (Ra), one shows

Mr*U = 11-11kp u and Mr*U & Whop (IR") 1 Coo (IR")

I weak denoting vegetanity
(by prop above)

Moreover, one can approximate yr*u by elements in Co (R) b/c gr*u e [NKP(IR") (so one can consider X. (yr*u) when X is a bump for wich large support) controle this supp.

PMK (Co(R), 1, 10) is not Bonach.

But they are Fréchet space.

Ref A top. U.s X is a Fréchet space if it is a Hauschoff space equipped with a family of semi-norms 1. 1 K KEIN s.t.

- · |x|x=0 4K => x=0 (mm-dig)
- . X is complete w.v.t to the metric $d(x,y) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+|x-y|_{k}}$ make it well-defined

-e.g. Every Baroch space is a Fréchet space (1-1/k = 11.11 + k)

eg. (CO(IR) can be equipped with a seg of semi-norm so that it becomes - Fréchet space.

. For p=2, $W^{kr2}(|R^n)$ admits an inner product $(U,V):=\sum_{0\in[M]\in K}\int_{|R^n|}(D^nu)(D^nu)$

(This is well-defined due to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.) Whatim: $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n):=W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

. Change the imput from IR^n to an open domain $SCIR^n$.

Then $W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p}(\Omega) \mid \exists f_{\alpha} \in L^{p}(\Omega) \text{ ct. } f_{\alpha} \text{ is } \alpha \right\}$ weak derivative of Dau

Prop If 25 satisfies certain "extentable" condition. then

Ca(5) 11.11cp = Wtrp(D) define WER(50):=

For most cases, we will always assume IZ satisfies this condition see AppA in Guer) (in reality, this condition is even more complicated when It is combounded)

3. Embeddy thun

FACT (Morrey's inequality) IR", p>n, then I c s.t. in ue Who(D) v Co(D), then Axi A e D

[u(x)] ∈ c (|u||, p and |u(x) - u(y)| ∈ c (|vu||, |x-y|- P) (\sum_{\overline{\pi}} \left(\frac{\pi \chi_{\overline{\pi}}}{3\pi} \right)_b \frac{\pi}{\pi} Ming the world in below,

Morrey's inequality implies Mullo.1- (D)

e[0,∞)

Recall the T- Hölder continuity (stronger than uniformly continous)

∃ C>0 and 8 s.t | (u(x) - u(y) | € C | x-y | 8 x,y

luk r=0 > uis bounded > usually one considers & e(4)] T>1 => Ui, constant d=1 => uis lipsclutz

 $C^{k,r}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in C^{k}(\Omega) \mid |u| = k, D^{q}u \text{ is } \right\}$

 $\|u\|_{C^{k,r}} := \|u\|_{C^{k}} + \max_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{x\neq y \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{(D^{\alpha}u)(x) - (D^{\alpha}u)(y)}{|x-y|^{p}}$

PMP (CK. 8 (SZ), IIII ck. 8) is a Banach space (called Hölder space)

Ex If o'cr, then Ck, r(IZ) & Ck, r'(IZ) and not dense

Thum (Sobolev emb for DCIRM, p>n)

Meaning.

O & u & Wt. P(R), S(u) = a modification of u in a o-measured set in s.

11 8(11) 11 CK-1,1-19 = C 11 411 Kp for a uniform constant C.

Morrey Parts

Do um mich | of | EK-1)

Do um | mich | of | EK-1)

→ Dolum → Vol and when Dolu exists and equals to Vol
(Um → U)

. Use Murrey part 2 to estimate Dan and the correct Höbler exponent 7 precisely 1- n.

when I coost. for all ue (a (D), we have

Muller 5 CHOULLP. - this implies 4, =9=9x, Holder inaply,

Thum (Sobolev emb for SCIR", PCN) in GNS inequality

WK.P S WK-1, PK a degree of regularity alraps.

If Similar to the one where after apply GNS inequality.